# **THERMOCHEMISTRY OF 2,6-DINITROTOLUENE**

### ABDULLAH BARAKAT

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Helwan University, Cairo (Egypt)

#### ARTHUR FINCH

The Bourne Laboratory, Royal Holloway College, University of London, Egham, Surrey (Gt. Britain)

(Received 5 September 1983)

#### ABSTRACT

Using a static, oxygen-bomb calorimeter, the standard enthalpy of combustion of 2,6-dinitrotoluene has been determined as  $-3556.834 \pm 1.018$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. This gives rise to a value for the standard enthalpy of formation of  $-55.23 \pm 2.25$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

#### INTRODUCTION

This study is part of a systematic investigation of nitroaromatic compounds related to the explosives industry [1]. Although 2,6-dinitrotoluene has been long known, the literature value for the standard enthalpy of formation  $\Delta H_t^0$  is derived from measurements made in 1939 under nonstandard conditions [2]. Further, there is apparent ambiguity about the melting point, which has been variously quoted as 59–59.5°C [2] but also as 66°C [3]. Preliminary differential scanning calorimetric experiments (DSC) in this laboratory [4] suggest that there are two crystal modifications of the pure compound, with melting points of 60°C and 66°C, respectively; these are designated here as A and B, respectively.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Materials and synthesis

#### 2,6-Dinitrotoluene

A commercial sample (B.D.H.) was recrystallised five times from sodiumdried benzene (B.D.H., AnalaR grade), dried in air, and stored in a vacuum

0040-6031/84/\$03.00 © 1984 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

	-	2	3	4	5	6	1	×	6	10	=	12
M(sample) (g)	1.075269	0 9924756	0.9903342	0.9533105	1.002842	1.050023	1.013057	1 014838	1 136117	1.007264	1.02243	0.9404102
M(fuse)(g)	0.00486	0.00384	0.00468	0.00454	0.00458	0.00516	0.00428	0 00260	0 00476	0.00527	0.00450	0.00444
M(Pt wire)(g)	0.00718	0.00908	0.00777	0.00776	0.00668	0.008859	0.0078	0.00652	0.00574	0 00781	0.00702	0 00824
M(silica				,								
crucible)(g)	7.31934	2.79518	3.42786	2.79520	3.42792	3.42794	7.31920	3.42786	3 42782	7.31912	3.42784	7.3191
M(soot)(g)	0.00084	0.00060	0.00120	0 00044	0.0005	0.00060	0.00040	0.00050	0.00036	0.0006	0.000879	0.00080
$M(H_2)(g)$	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8
q, (kJ)	0.08683139	0.0689916	0.0836832	0.0812346	0.08193419	0.09207839	0.0766872	0 047304	0.08508239	0.09400229	0.080535	0.0794856
q <sub>n</sub> (kJ)	0.0734556	0.0668864	0.062706	0.0609144	0.0677822	0.0722612	0.0680808	0.0677822	0.07465	0.0674836	0.0680808	0.05972
q, (kJ)	0.00084	090000	0.00120	0.00044	0.00050	0.00060	0 00040	0.00050	0.00036	0.0006	0.000879	0.00080
<i>q</i> <sub>n</sub> (kJ)	0.03193429	0.0937221	0.02935474	0.02820128	0.02969111	0.03114125	0.03001031	0.03007065	0.0387079	0.02983306	0.03030299	0.02780495
Δθ (K)	2.4407	2.2547	2.2503	2.1626	2.2795	2 3873	2.2970	2 3000	2.5803	2.2887	2.3155	2.1342
ϵ <sub>f</sub> (kJ K <sup>− 1</sup> )	8.62853	8.62853	8.62853	8.62853	8.62853	8.62853	8.62864	8.62864	8.62864	8.62864	8.62864	8.62864
د <sub>ر</sub> (kJ K <sup>- 1</sup> )	0.0619705	0.05839056	0.05886751	0.0583232	0.05888884	0.05897004	0.06186388	0.05890934	0.05911714	0.06185388	0.05892209	0.06173931
- ΔU												
(298.15 K)(kJ g <sup>-1</sup> )	19.54816	19.5659	19.5638	19.52803	19.56844	19 56605	19.53267	19.54666	19.56116	19.55716	19.50417	19.54551

.

Combustion calorimetry results for 2,6-dinitrotoluene

TABLE 1

desiccator in the dark. Purity determinations using D.S.C. (Perkin–Elmer, Model 1B) indicated 99.93% purity; indium metal (purity 99.999%) was used as calibrant. The compound melted sharply near 60°C; after cooling and re-heating the melting point rose to nearly 66°C. This latter value was reproducible. Samples for subsequent combustion were taken from the original compound (A), melting at 60°C, and from a sample which had been melted (B), and then ground in an agate mortar and melted at 66°C. Density measurements, using a standard pyknometric method, were made, giving the following values: crystal A, 1.51 g cm<sup>-3</sup>; crystal B, 1.49 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. These values were subsequently used for buoyancy correction purposes.

### Benzoic acid and acetanilide

Calibration of the bomb, and check experiments on the calorimetric system were as described previously [1].

# Combustion calorimeter

A commercial instrument (Gallenkamp Automatic Bomb Calorimeter, Model CB-110) was used with the modifications given in detail elsewhere [5]. The mean of two experiments using acetanilide as a test substance gave a value of  $\Delta U_c^0 = -31.2380 \text{ kJ g}^{-1}$ , in very good agreement with the recommended value of  $-31.2300 \pm 0.0069 \text{ kJ g}^{-1}$  [6].

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Combustion

Relevant details of the combustion experiments are listed in Table 1; runs 1-6 refer to crystal A, and runs 7-12 to crystal B. Collated values of the standard energies of combustion,  $\Delta U_c^0$ , the standard enthalpies of combustion,  $\Delta H_c^0$ , and of standard energies of formation,  $\Delta H_f^0$ , the latter calculated using the equation

$$C_7 H_6 N_2 O_{4(c)} + 13/2 O_{2(g)} = 7 CO_{2(g)} + 3 H_2 O_{(l)} + N_{2(g)}$$

are displayed in Table 2.

The value of  $\Delta H_{\rm f}^0$  for crystal A appears to be ca. 2.83 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> less

### TABLE 2

Energies of combustion, and enthalpies of combustion and of formation of 2,6-dinitrotoluene

	А	В	Combined values	Lit. value [7]
$\frac{\Delta U_{\rm c}^0 (\rm kJ g^{-1})}{\Delta U_{\rm c}^0 (\rm kJ g^{-1})}$	19.557±0.017	$19.541 \pm 0.022$	$19.549 \pm 0.012$	
$-\Delta H_{\rm c}^0$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$3558.247 \pm 3.063$	$3555.422 \pm 4.005$	$3556.834 \pm 1.018$	3560.92 <u>+</u> 3.56
$-\Delta H_{\rm f}^0({\rm kJ\ mol}^{-1})$	$53.813 \pm 3.063$	$56.638 \pm 4.005$	$55.226 \pm 2.246$	$51.13 \pm 3.6$

exothermic than that of crystal B, but this difference is not significant at the 99.5 confidence level, using a t-test. Hence the results have been pooled, and mean values from all twelve experiments are given in column 3. These are seen to be significantly different from the (re-calculated) literature values listed in column 4.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to Mr John Payne for purity determinations and helpful discussion, and to the Egyptian Cultural Bureau for partial financial support (A.B.).

### REFERENCES

- 1 A. Finch and A.E. Smith, Thermochim. Acta, 53 (1982) 349.
- 2 M. Marius Badoche, Bull. Soc. Chim., 6 (1939) 570.
- 3 Holleman and Boeseken, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 16 (1897) 425.
- 4 J.R. Payne, unpublished work.
- 5 A. Finch, P.J. Gardner and A.E. Smith, Thermochim. Acta, 49 (1981) 281.
- 6 W.H. Johnson, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., Sect. A, 79 (1975) 487.
- 7 J.D. Cox and G. Pilcher, Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds, Academic Press, London and New York, 1970.